



Consultation Response Form

How to make comments

You need fill out only one copy of your contact details. However, **please fill in a separate response form for each site or issue that you wish to comment on.** Please indicate in the box provided on the contact details form the total number of pages enclosed. Please complete the attached Equality Monitoring Form if you wish.

An electronic copy of this form is available at www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Electronic forms or responses by email can be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Responses on paper copies of this form should be posted or faxed to:

Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL

Fax: 01539 717355

You may also hand in your form to the council offices at:

- South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal; or
- Ulverston Local Link (Town Hall)

If you require additional copies of the form please call 01539 717490 or email developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Internet access is available at your local library and at South Lakeland House, Kendal.

Please ensure that your comments reach the Council Offices at South Lakeland House, Kendal no later than Friday 9th September 2011.

Your contact details and privacy

Anonymous comments will not be accepted. Comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be available for public inspection. Your submitted comments will be used in the preparation of the LDF.

Contact details, signatures and private addresses will not be made public. Any data that you supply will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Viewing the relevant documents

The consultation document, which includes maps of the sites we would like comments on can be viewed at council offices and local libraries and downloaded from the Council website

Any questions?

If you need help completing the comments sheet, require further information or are unsure about any aspect of the consultation, our Development Plans Team will be pleased to advise.

Contact details are:

Tel: **01539 717490**

Email: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation: Private individual		Organisation:	
Name: K A Lasbury		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains pages including this one. EIGHT - 8

☐

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council. **Cannot TICK YES PLEASE**

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
Kendal and A590/Junction 36 corridor	RN299# EN46# E23K# RN46# RN47# E4M# EN48# R1000 R154# EN18# E56# E57# E58# M7# and others round Junction 36 E50# and others round A590/A591 junction	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPORT	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/> OPPOSE the box will not tick
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		

OPPOSE

RN299# development in here will exacerbate the Windermere Road congestion highlighted in the Kendal Traffic Study

EN46# E23K# RN46# RN47# development here will exacerbate the traffic situation in Shap Road identified in the Kendal Traffic Study. It is WRONG to develop more industry here when there are NO PROPOSALS to address the accessibility of these areas from A591- even more heavy lorry traffic through Windermere Road, Sandes Avenue etc

E4M# any housing development here would really only be suitable for those who commute out of Kendal to the motorway or beyond.

These areas are so remote from facilities in Kendal and would thus increase car journeys into the town and would not be a sustainable location

SUPPORT

EN48# R1000 R154#

It seems logical to infill the fields opposite Castle Green Hotel although that area is also fairly remote from facilities and development here would not be particularly sustainable.

It is clear that businesses and industry rely heavily on the A590 and M6 corridors and it makes sense to continue with the recent planning decisions to allow a small industrial area and the market to develop near the M6 Junction 36. I therefore fully support EN18# E56# E57# E58# M7# and others round Junction 36 together with E50# and others round A590/A591 junction. This would also reduce the reliance on the industrial areas to the north of Kendal which suffer from appalling vehicular access.

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☐

OPPOSE

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

I have major reservations about foreshortening timescales as it seems that neither SLDC or Cumbria County Council have ANY firm PROPOSALS to enhance the infrastructure to accommodate the scale of development proposed.

SLDC's own documents – sustainability email and Air Quality Report both confirm that Kendal's infrastructure is inadequate for the development level proposed. The County Council's recently published LTP3 contains NOTHING to give any reassurance that this issue has been understood or addressed by the relevant authorities.

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐ **A**

B ☐

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

If B is adopted things will be very piecemeal and incoherent and affected, in opposing ways, by nimbyism, by personal greed of landowners etc etc. There needs to be some overall framework

Thank you for your views and suggestions.